MAY 1 1 2006 \$

Th

I hereby certify that his paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being the pasted of U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage at the U.S. Postal Service on t

Dated: May 8, 2006

Signature:

Docket No.: SONYJP 3.0-729

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Makoto Ishii

Application No.: 09/697,110

Confirmation No.: 3916

Filed: October 26, 2000

Art Unit: 2131

For: DATA RECEIVING METHOD AND DATA

Examiner: T. T. Arani

RECEIVING UNIT THEREFOR

## COMMUNICATION

MS Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary (hereinafter, "the Interview Summary") which accompanied the Notice of Allowability dated April 7, 2006. Since the Interview Summary did not indicate that the applicant did not have to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, the applicant is providing herein such separate record.

On March 29, 2006, a telephone interview between the Examiner and Dennis M. Smid (one of the applicant's undersigned attorneys) was conducted at the Examiner's request. During such interview, it was agreed by the Examiner and Mr. Smid that claims 4, 12, 13, 16, and 24 would be revised as indicated below:

Claim 4; change "the step of determining" to "the step of using";

Claim 12, change "as have been" to "as having been";

Claim 13, change "using the predetermined decoding key" to "using the decoding key"; and change "determined by said examination means as have not been decoded" to "determined by said receiver terminal as having not been decoded";

Claim 16, change "examination means" to "receiver terminal"; and

Claim 24, change "said examination means as have been decoded" to "said receiver terminal as having been decoded".

Additionally, during such March 29 telephone interview, the Examiner agreed to enter the above changes by Examiner's Amendment. Further, during such March 29 telephone interview, the Examiner indicated that except for the above changes all of the claims in the present application were otherwise in condition for allowance. Furthermore, during the March 29 telephone interview, the Examiner briefly mentioned two additional references.

If there are any charges in connection with this Communication, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: May 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis M. Smid

Registration No.: 34,930 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,

KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West

Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000

Attorney for Applicant

654995\_1.DOC